

**REMARKS**

This patent application presently includes Claims 1-6, of which Claims 1 and 2 are withdrawn as not directed to an elected invention and Claims 3-6 are rejected. A substitute specification is submitted, Claim 3 is amended to define the applicants' invention more clearly, and all rejections are respectfully traversed.

The examiner objected to the existing drawings, because Figs. 1-7 have not been indicated as "Prior Art." Under separate cover, the undersigned is submitting new formal drawings for Figs. 1-7 which so indicate those figures. It is respectfully requested that these amended drawings be entered.

The examiner objected to the specification for being replete with terms that are clear, concise and exact. Submitted herewith is a substitute specification which has been amended with an eye towards improving the language beyond the translation which was submitted. It is believed that this amended version of the specification is clear, concise and exact. Accordingly, this objection should now be withdrawn.

Claim 3 was objected to owing the presence of "file" at Line 5. This was clearly a typographical error and has now been amended to "field", as suggested by the examiner. This objection should now be withdrawn. Claims 3-6 were rejected as obvious over Togane et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,138,290 in view of Milili, U.S. Patent No. 5,121,028. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Neither Togane, nor Milili nor the combination thereof renders the present claims obvious.

The examiner cites Togane for the disclosure of the basic structure of the deflection yoke, but admits that Togane does not disclose a coiling adjustment groove. He cites Milili for the disclosure of such a groove and concludes that it would have been obvious to use the design of Milili and Togane.



Dated:

Respectfully submitted,

By

Joseph B. Lerch

Registration No.: 26,936

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 753-6237 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant